

THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

BLACK LIVES MATTER SEATTLE-
KING COUNTY, ABIE EKENEZAR,
SHARON SAKAMOTO, MURACO
KYASHNA-TOCHA, ALEXANDER
WOLDEAB, NATHALIE GRAHAM,
AND ALEXANDRA CHEN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF SEATTLE,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATED CLARIFICATION OF
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

The Parties, Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter Seattle-King County, Abie Ekenezar, Sharon Sakamoto, Muraco Kyashna-Tocha, Alexander Woldeab, Nathalie Graham, and Alexandra Chen (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant, City of Seattle (“the City”) hereby stipulate to and propose the following, subject to approval by the Court:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
CLARIFICATION OF PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION (No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) – 1

FINDINGS

1
2 1. On June 12, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining
3 order enjoining the City from using chemical irritants and projectiles against peaceful protesters.

4 *See* ECF 34.

5 2. The temporary restraining order enjoined the City from "employing chemical
6 irritants or projectiles of any kind against persons peacefully engaging in protests or
7 demonstrations." ECF 34 ¶ 1. It noted that individual officers could take "necessary, reasonable,
8 proportional, and targeted action to protect against a specific imminent threat of physical harm to
9 themselves or identifiable others or to respond to specific acts of violence or destruction of
10 property" but that chemical irritants and projectiles could not be "deployed indiscriminately into
11 a crowd" and, "to the extent reasonably possible, they should be targeted at the specific
12 imminent threat" justifying their deployment. *Id.*

13 3. On June 17, 2020, the parties stipulated to a preliminary injunction with terms
14 identical to the TRO extending the injunction through September 30, 2020. *See* ECF 42. The
15 Court entered the agreed preliminary injunction.

16 4. On June 26, 2020, the Seattle City Council passed Ordinance 119805 banning the
17 crowd control irritants at issue in this suit ("the CCW Ordinance").

18 5. In the evening of Friday, July 24, 2020, the Honorable James Robart granted an
19 emergency motion by the Department of Justice to temporarily enjoin implementation of
20 Ordinance 119805 until it could be reviewed under the terms of the consent decree entered in
21 *United States v. City of Seattle*, No. 12-cv-01282-JLR (W.D. Wash). In granting the DOJ's
22 motion for a TRO, Judge Robart identified that this Court's order "is the current *status quo*" with
23 respect to crowd control weapons "and remains in effect." ECF 630, *United States v. City of*
24 *Seattle*, No. 12-cv-01282-JLR (W.D. Wash).

25 6. The parties agree to **AMEND** the preliminary injunction (ECF 42) to include the
26 following terms:

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER
(No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) – 2

- 1 1. The City of Seattle, including the Seattle Police Department and any other officers,
2 departments, agencies, or organizations under the Seattle Police Department’s control
3 (collectively, “the City”), are enjoined from:
- 4 a. Using chemical irritants or projectiles of any kind to re-route a protest, unless such
5 re-routing is necessary to prevent specific imminent threat of physical harm to
6 themselves or identifiable others, or to respond to specific acts of violence or
7 destruction of property;
- 8 b. Using chemical irritants or projectiles of any kind without, when feasible, first
9 issuing a warning that is reasonably calculated to alert attendees in the area where
10 the weapons are to be deployed and allowing them reasonable time, space, and
11 opportunity under the circumstances to leave the area;
- 12 c. Targeting with chemical irritants or projectiles any individual displaying clear
13 indicia as a Journalist or Legal Observer, as defined in sections 2 and 3, below,
14 respectively, at such time(s) as the individual is acting lawfully and in a capacity
15 such that the City knows or reasonably should know of their status. However,
16 incidental exposure of these individuals which is related to allowable uses of these
17 tools is not enjoined.

18 2. To facilitate the City’s identification of Journalists protected under this
19 Order, the term “Journalist” shall be synonymous with “news media” defined as
20 follows: (a) Any newspaper, magazine or other periodical, book publisher, news
21 agency, wire service, radio or television station or network, cable or satellite station
22 or network, or audio or audiovisual production company, or any entity that is in the
23 regular business of news gathering and disseminating news or information to the
24 public by any means, including, but not limited to, print, broadcast, photographic,
25 mechanical, internet, or electronic distribution; (b) Any person who is or has been an
26 employee, agent, or independent contractor of any entity listed in (a) above, who is or

1 has been engaged in bona fide news gathering for such entity, and who obtained or
2 prepared the news or information that is sought while serving in that capacity; or (c)
3 Any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate of the entities listed in (a) or (b). The following
4 shall be considered indicia of being a Journalist: visual identification as a member of
5 the press, such as by displaying a professional or authorized press pass or wearing a
6 professional or authorized press badge or some distinctive clothing that identifies the
7 wearer as a member of the press. The City shall not be liable for unintentional
8 violations of this Order in the case of an individual who does not carry a press pass or
9 wear a press badge or distinctive clothing that identifies the wearer as a member of
10 the press.

- 11
- 12 3. To facilitate the City's identification of Legal Observers protected under this Order,
13 the following shall be considered indicia of being a Legal Observer: wearing a green
14 National Lawyers' Guild issued or authorized Legal Observer hat and/or vest (a green
15 NLG hat and/or black vest with green labels) or wearing a blue ACLU issued or
16 authorized Legal Observer vest.
- 17 4. At such time(s) as they are acting lawfully and in a capacity such that the City knows
18 or reasonably should know of their status, individuals with medical training who are
19 actively providing medical assistance will be classified as "Medics" and will
20 generally fall under the protections available under this Order to peaceful protesters.
21 The following shall be considered identifying garb of Medics under this Order:
22 wearing a blue or white vest or hat with the word "Medic" clearly displayed on the
23 vest or hat or wearing medical scrubs (typically blue). The City shall not be liable for
24 unintentional violations of this Order in the case of an individual who is not wearing
25 the identifying garb of Medics or not acting in the capacity of a Medic as described in
26 this Order.

- 1 5. The City shall not be liable for violating this Court’s Preliminary Injunction (ECF 42)
2 or the provisions of this Order if blast balls are used for reasons consistent with this
3 Order or the Court’s Preliminary Injunction but directed to an open space near the
4 target individual(s) rather than at individuals.
- 5 6. The City shall ensure that a copy of this order is distributed via an ALL SPD e-mail
6 to every Seattle Police Department officer within 24 hours of the issuance of this
7 Order and certify to the Court that it has done so.
- 8 7. Declaring a protest to be an unlawful assembly or a riot does not exempt the City
9 from its obligation to comply with this Order, where individual officers may take
10 necessary, reasonable, proportional, and targeted action to protect against a specific
11 imminent threat of physical harm to themselves or identifiable others or to respond to
12 specific acts of violence or destruction of property. To the extent that chemical
13 irritants or projectiles are used in accordance with this paragraph, they shall not be
14 deployed indiscriminately into a crowd and to the extent reasonably possible, they
15 should be targeted at the specific imminent threat of physical harm to themselves or
16 identifiable others or to respond to specific acts of violence or destruction of property.
- 17 8. These provisions clarify the terms of this Court’s Preliminary Injunction (ECF 42)
18 and are hereby added to that Preliminary Injunction, the entirety of which remains
19 fully in effect. To the extent that there is any apparent conflict between this Order and
20 the Preliminary Injunction (ECF 42), the terms of this Order shall govern.
- 21 9. The parties further agree to stay all proceedings in this case, including case deadlines
22 and all discovery, pending the review by the court in *United States v. City of Seattle*
23 of the CCW Ordinance’s validity and effect. The stay in this case will expire when
24 Judge Robart issues an order with such ruling; within 24 hours of such order being
25 issued the parties will jointly advise this Court of it. The parties further agree that the
26 Preliminary Injunction, as amended by this Order, shall remain in effect for 90 days

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

after the stay in this case is lifted, unless otherwise vacated by the Court. Either party may move to amend or vacate the preliminary injunction after the stay is lifted.

10. The Stay does not affect Plaintiffs’ ability to seek enforcement of the preliminary injunction, as amended by this Order.

11. Plaintiffs’ Motion for Order to Show Cause is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE, and the evidentiary hearing scheduled for August 26, 2020, is vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED by the Court, this ___ of _____, 2020.

By: _____

HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
United States District Judge

1 SUBMITTED BY:

2 DATED: August 9, 2020

3 By: s/ David A. Perez
4 By: s/ Joseph M. McMillan
5 By: s/ Carolyn S. Gilbert
6 By: s/ Nitika Arora
7 By: s/ Heath Hyatt
8 By: s/ Paige L. Whidbee
9 David A. Perez #43959
10 Joseph M. McMillan #26527
11 Carolyn S. Gilbert #51285
12 Nitika Arora #54084
13 Heath Hyatt, #54141
14 Paige L. Whidbee, # 55072

15 Perkins Coie LLP
16 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
17 Seattle, WA 98101-3099
18 Telephone: 206.359.8000
19 Facsimile: 206.359.9000
20 Email: DPerez@perkinscoie.com
21 JMcMillan@perkinscoie.com
22 CarolynGilbert@perkinscoie.com
23 NArora@perkinscoie.com
24 HHyatt@perkinscoie.com
25 PWhidbee@perkinscoie.com

26 By: s/ Molly Tack-Hooper
By: s/ Nancy L. Talner
By: s/ Lisa Nowlin
By: s/ Breanne Schuster
By: s/ John Midgley
Molly Tack-Hooper, #56356
Nancy L. Talner #11196
Lisa Nowlin #51512
Breanne Schuster #49993
John Midgley, #6511

American Civil Liberties Union of Washington
Foundation
P.O. Box 2728
Seattle, WA 98111
Telephone: (206) 624-2184
Email: mtackhooper@aclu-wa.org
talner@aclu-wa.org
lnowlin@aclu-wa.org
bschuster@aclu-wa.org
jmidgley@aclu-wa.org

By: s/ Robert S. Chang

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER
(No. 2:20-cv-00887-RAJ) – 7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

By: s/ Melissa Lee
By: s/ Jessica Levin
Robert S. Chang, #44083
Melissa Lee #38808
Jessica Levin #40837

Fred T. Korematsu Center for Law and Equality
Ronald A. Peterson Law Clinic
Seattle University School of Law
1112 E. Columbia Street
Seattle, WA 98122
Telephone: 206.398.4025
Fax: 206.398.4077
Email: changro@seattleu.edu

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Black Lives Matter
Seattle-King County, Abie Ekenezar, Sharon
Sakamoto, Muraco Kyashna-tochá, Alexander
Woldeab, Nathalie Graham, and Alexandra Chen

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

By: s/ Ghazal Sharifi
By: s/ Carolyn Boies
Ghazal Sharifi, #47750
Carolyn Boies, #40395

Seattle City Attorney's Office
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
Seattle, WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 684-8200
Email: Ghazal.Sharifi@seattle.gov
Carolyn.Boies@seattle.gov

Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle

By: s/ Robert L. Christie
By: s/ Thomas P. Miller
By: s/ Ann E. Trivett
By: s/ Megan M. Coluccio
Robert L. Christie, #10895
Thomas P. Miller, #34473
Ann E. Trivett, #39228
Megan M. Coluccio, #44178

Christie Law Group, PLLC
2100 Westlake Avenue N., Suite 206
Seattle, WA 98109
Telephone: (206) 957-9669
Email: bob@christielawgroup.com
tom@christielawgroup.com
ann@christielawgroup.com
megan@christielawgroup.com

Attorneys for Defendant City of Seattle