Supreme Court No.: 93609-9

Court of Appeals No.: 32354-4-III

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

v.

E.G.,

Petitioner.

MOTION OF THE AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF WASHINGTON FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REVIEW

Steven W. Fogg, WSBA No. 23528 Kelly H. Sheridan, WSBA No. 44746 Corr Cronin Michelson Baumgardner Fogg & Moore LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

Attorneys for *Amicus Curiae* American Civil Liberties Union of Washington

1

The party identified below respectfully moves, pursuant to RAP 10.6 and 13.4(h), to file an *Amicus Curiae* Memorandum in Support of Review regarding the issues described below.

I. IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICUS

The moving party herein is the American Civil Liberties Union of Washington ("ACLU"). The ACLU is a statewide, nonpartisan, nonprofit organization with over 50,000 members and supporters dedicated to the preservation of civil liberties. The ACLU has consistently advocated against the criminalization of juvenile behavior. The ACLU favors laws that take account of the characteristics of the juvenile justice system, including its goal of rehabilitation for juvenile offenders. The ACLU has participated as *amicus curiae* in numerous cases in Washington involving the juvenile justice system.

II. FAMILIARITY WITH ISSUES

Amicus has obtained copies of, and is familiar with, the briefing submitted by the parties to this Court, the Opinion of the Court of Appeals, and the proceedings below. *Amicus* is familiar with the scope of the argument presented by the parties and will not unduly repeat arguments raised by any of the parties.

III. ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED BY AMICUS

- 1. Whether review is warranted due to the substantial public interest raised by the Court of Appeals' interpretation of a statute that, if permitted to stand, would make common teenage "sexting" the felony crime of child pornography?
- 2. Whether review is warranted based on significant questions of constitutional law because the decision

below contravenes decades of jurisprudence holding that child pornography laws are constitutional only when they protect child victims?

IV. WHY AMICUS BRIEFING WILL ASSIST THE COURT

This Court's decision on whether to grant review will significantly impact an important area of law in this state. This case asks the Court to interpret Washington's child pornography statute in a way that does not result in absurd results that are contrary to the purposes of the statute and constitutional law. *Amicus* provides additional authority and analysis in support of Petitioner's arguments which will assist the Court in addressing this issue. Therefore, the requirements of RAP 10.6 and 13.4(h) are met and this Motion should be granted.

V. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, *Amicus* respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file the attached *Amicus* Memorandum in Support of the Petition for Review.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of October, 2016.

CORR CRONIN MICHELSON BAUMGARDNER FOGG & MOORE LLP

Mg 62

Steven W. Fogg, WSBA No. 23528 Kelly H. Sheridan, WSBA No. 44746 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel: (206) 625-8600 // Fax (206) 625-0900 sfogg@corrcronin.com ksheridan@corrrcronin.com *Attorneys for Amicus Curiae American Civil Liberties Union of Washington*

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

The undersigned declares as follows:

1. I am employed at Corr Cronin Michelson Baumgardner

Fogg & Moore LLP, attorneys for Amicus Curiae American Civil Liberties

Union of Washington.

2. On October 28, 2016, I caused the foregoing to be filed

with the Supreme Court of the State of Washington and served on the

parties to this action as follows:

Kathleen A. Shea Washington Appellate Project 1511 Third Avenue, Suite 701 Seattle, WA 98101 Attorney for Petitioner	 □ Facsimile □ Messenger X U.S. Mail □ E-Mail
Gretchen E. Verhoef Deputy Prosecuting Attorney County-City Public Safety Building West 1100 Mallon Spokane, WA 99260 Attorneys for Respondent	 □ Facsimile □ Messenger X U.S. Mail □ E-Mail

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of

Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this 28th day of October, 2016, at Seattle, Washington.

Lauren Beers